

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,387 04/19/2004		Shohei Yoshida	119036	9740	
25944	7590	07/12/2006	•	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & E	BERRIDO	GE, PLC	DOWLING, WILLIAM C		
P.O. BOX 1	9928				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2051	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/826,387	YOSHIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Dowling	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amer	ndment received 4/12/06.						
<u> </u>	·						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-10 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>3,5,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	priority under 35 LLS C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 9 119(a)	-(a) or (i).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	s have been received						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in tills National Stage					
application from the International Bureau		ad					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
	A						

Application/Control Number: 10/826,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Claims 2, 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim 2 has been incorporated into Claim 1 but not canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 6-8, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsui et al. (6,330,039).

Matsui et al. discloses an image projection device comprising:

Light emitting diodes (34R, 34G, 34B) capably of being individually controlled (Column 10 Lines 29-34) to adjust the light emission;

Art Unit: 2851

Light valves (11R, 11B, 11G);

A color composition prism (10) for combining modulated lights.

Controller (35) is provided to control the light sources in response to image analysis based upon the type of image to be projected.

4. Claims 1-2, 9-10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Allen et al. (2003/0227577).

Allen discloses in Figure 4 discloses a projection device having red, green and blue light sources (124, 126, 128) each capable of individually adjusting it's emission output. Analyzers (122, 116) are provided for determination of color factors and a controller (120, 114) is provided for changing the emission spectra.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Allen et al. in view of Yokoyama.

Allen et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed but performs color synthesis before modulation.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the device of Allen et al. by the use of the light control method in devices having synthesis after modulation such as taught by Yokoyama because such systems are more common.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3, 5, 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 4/12/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that Allen does not teach the adjustment of emission spectra is not persuasive as relates to the embodiment shown in Figure 4., paragraph [0051] Further, the references may be interpreted with color filters forming a part of an "illumination device" and therefore emission is made from the connected structure.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2851

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1750. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C. Dowling Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

wcd